

Serial No. **09/996,718**
Amdt. dated June 22, 2006
Reply to Office Action of March 27, 2006

Docket No. **P-0303**

REMARKS/ARGUMENTS

Claims 1-16 and 18-24 are pending in this application. By this Amendment, claims 2 and 7 are amended. Support for the claims can be found throughout the specification, including the original claims, and the drawings. Withdrawal of the rejections in view of the above amendments and the following remarks is respectfully requested.

I. Rejection under 35 U.S.C. §112, Second Paragraph

The Office Action rejects claims 2 and 7 under 35 U.S.C. §112, second paragraph. It is respectfully submitted that the amendments to claims 2 and 7 are responsive to the Examiner's comments, and that claims 2 and 7 meet the requirements of 35 U.S.C. §112, second paragraph. Accordingly, the rejection should be withdrawn.

II. Rejection under 35 U.S.C. §103(a)

The Office Action rejects claims 1-23 under 35 U.S.C. §103(a) over Figures 1-3 of the present application in view of the U.S. Patent No. 6,785,716 to Nobakht, and further in view of Barton et al., U.S. Patent Publication No. 2003/0095791 (hereinafter "Barton"). Claim 17 was cancelled in the Amendment filed December 28, 2006. Further, it appears, based on remarks in the Office Action, that it was also Examiner's intention to include claim 24 in this statement of rejection. Thus, for purposes of this Reply, it is assumed that claim 24 is also rejected under 35 U.S.C. §103(a) over Figures 1-3 of the present application, Nobakht and Barton. The rejection, in so far as it applies to claims 1-16 and 18-24, is respectfully traversed.

Independent claim 1 is directed to a method for accessing the internet using an internet TV. Independent claim 1 recites transmitting a message from the Internet TV to the server requesting authentication for use of information during a current session, transmitting the requested authentication number from the Internet TV to the server if the authentication number is available, checking a validity of the transmitted authentication number, and providing information to the Internet TV for the current session if it is determined that the authentication number is valid, requesting a new authentication number from the server if the authentication number is not available, registering a user in accordance with information collected by the server, receiving a new authentication number from the server, and providing information to the Internet TV for use during the current session, and storing the new authentication number in a memory device of the Internet TV for use during a later session. Independent claims 2 and 7 recite similar features in varying scope. As acknowledged by the Examiner in the Remarks regarding independent claims 1, 2 and 7, Figures 1-3 of the present application neither disclose nor suggest such features, and Nobakht fails to overcome the deficiencies of Figures 1-3 of the present application. Further, it is respectfully submitted that Barton fails to overcome the deficiencies of Figures 1-3 of the present application and Nobakht.

It is noted that the present application was filed on November 30, 2001, claiming priority to Korean Patent Application 72949/2000 filed in Korea on December 4, 2000. A Certified Translation of the Korean Priority Document is filed herewith in order to perfect the claim for

Serial No. **09/996,718**
Amdt. dated June 22, 2006
Reply to Office Action of March 27, 2006

Docket No. **P-0303**

priority. The December 4, 2000 priority date of the present application clearly predates Barton's February 27, 2001 PCT filing date. Accordingly, only the subject matter supported by U.S. Provisional Application No. 60/186,551 filed March 2, 2000 (hereinafter "the Barton provisional application") may be relied upon in rejection of the claims of the present application. A copy of the Barton provisional application is provided herewith for the Examiner's convenience.

The Barton provisional application discloses a system for using MPEG for digital audio and video transmission. The Barton provisional application discloses that MPEG streams and accompanying events tables may be encrypted, preferably separately, prior to transmission to prevent unauthorized use. By encrypting the MPEG stream and event tables separately, the events tables may be decrypted on the receiving end without decrypting the entire MPEG stream, thus simplifying the decryption process. TiVo receivers may be used to distribute these audio/video streams to a large population, and accessed by an owner of one of the TiVo receivers upon receipt of proper authorization for decryption.

The Barton provisional application neither discloses nor suggests the specific use of an authentication number for authorization for decryption. Thus, the Barton provisional application necessarily neither discloses nor suggests checking a validity of such a transmitted authentication number, and providing information to the Internet TV for the current session if it is determined that the authentication number is valid, requesting a new authentication number from the server if the authentication number is not available, registering a user in accordance

Serial No. **09/996,718**
Amdt. dated June 22, 2006
Reply to Office Action of March 27, 2006

Docket No. **P-0303**

with information collected by the server, receiving a new authentication number from the server, and providing information to the Internet TV for use during the current session, and storing the new authentication number in a memory device of the Internet TV for use during a later session, as recited in independent claim 1. Further, the Barton provisional application neither discloses nor suggests the corresponding features in varying scope recited in independent claims 2 and 7.

Accordingly, it is respectfully submitted that independent claims 1, 2 and 7 are allowable over the applied combination, and thus the rejection of independent claims 1, 2 and 7 under 35 U.S.C. §103(a) over Figures 1-3 of the present application, Nobakht and the Barton provisional application should be withdrawn. Dependent claims 3-6, 8-16 and 18-24 are allowable at least for the reasons set forth above with respect to independent claims 1, 2 and 7, from which they respectively depend, as well as for their added features.

III. Conclusion

In view of the foregoing amendments and remarks, it is respectfully submitted that the application is in condition for allowance. If the Examiner believes that any additional changes would place the application in better condition for allowance, the Examiner is invited to contact the undersigned, **JOANNA K. MASON**, at the telephone number listed below.

To the extent necessary, a petition for an extension of time under 37 C.F.R. 1.136 is hereby made. Please charge any shortage in fees due in connection with the filing of this,

Serial No. **09/996,718**


Docket No. **P-0303**

Amtd. dated June 22, 2006

Reply to Office Action of March 27, 2006

concurrent and future replies, including extension of time fees, to Deposit Account 16-0607 and please credit any excess fees to such deposit account.

Respectfully submitted,
FLESHNER & KIM, LLP



Daniel Y.J. Kim
Registration No. 36,186
Joanna K. Mason
Registration No. 56,408

Attachments: Certified Translation of Priority Document
Barton Provisional Application

P.O. Box 221200
Chantilly, Virginia 20153-1200
(703) 766-3701 DYK:JKM/cah

Date: June 22, 2006

\\Fk4\Documents\2000\2000-236\90597.doc

Please direct all correspondence to Customer Number 34610